arXiv:1611.09476v1 [math.PR] 29 Nov 2016

Gaussian beta ensembles at high temperature: eigenvalue fluctuations and bulk statistics

Trinh Khanh Duy * Fumihiko Nakano †

November 30, 2016

Abstract

We study the limiting behavior of Gaussian beta ensembles in the regime where $\beta n = const$ as $n \to \infty$. The results are (1) Gaussian fluctuations for linear statistics of the eigenvalues, and (2) Poisson convergence of the bulk statistics. (2) is an alternative proof of the result by F. Benaych-Georges and S. Péché (2015) with the explicit form of the intensity measure.

Keywords: Gaussian beta ensembles; high temperature; random Jacobi matrices; global fluctuations; bulk statistics; Poisson statistics;

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 60B20; Secondary 82B44, 60F05, 60G55

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Gaussian beta ensembles (GβE) are the ensembles of points on the real line with the joint density function given by

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \propto |\Delta(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n^2)} d\lambda, \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta(\lambda) = \prod_{i<j}(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant. They are generalizations of the well-known Gaussian Orthogonal/Unitary/Symplectic Ensembles, and can also be viewed as the equilibrium measure of a one dimensional Coulomb log-gas at the inverse temperature β.

Dumitriu and Edelman [9] introduced a matrix model whose eigenvalues obey GβE (1). It is the ensemble of finite tridiagonal matrices, called Jacobi matrices, with independent (up to the symmetry constraints) components distributed as

$$T_{n,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{(n-1)\beta} & & \\ \tilde{\chi}_{(n-1)\beta} & \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{(n-2)\beta} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \tilde{\chi}_\beta & \mathcal{N}(0,1) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denotes the Gaussian distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2, and $\tilde{\chi}_k$ ($k > 0$) denotes the $(1/\sqrt{2})$-chi distribution with k degrees of freedom or equivalently the square root of the gamma distribution Gamma$(k/2, 1)$.

*Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University, Japan. Email: trinh@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp

†Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University, Japan. Email: fumihiko@math.gakushuin.ac.jp

For fixed β, there are many papers on GβE and $T_{n,\beta}$ (e.g., the convergence and fluctuations around the semi-circle distribution of the empirical measures [17, 10], the convergence and fluctuations around the semi-circle distribution of the spectral measures [12], edge scaling limit [21], bulk scaling limit [25], and a central limit theorem (CLT for short) for the log-determinant [13]).

The aim of this paper is to study the limiting behaviour of the spectra of Gaussian beta ensembles as $n \to \infty$ and $\beta \to 0$ such that $n\beta = const.$

1.2 Notations

In this subsection we introduce some basic notions and fix notations. A Jacobi matrix is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix with positive entries in the subdiagonal. In this paper we will deal with three types of Jacobi matrices: finite, infinite and doubly infinite matrices. The empirical measure is defined, for a finite Jacobi matrix J of size n with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$, by

$$L_n := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_j},$$

where δ_λ denotes the Dirac measure. Note that a finite Jacobi matrix of size n has exactly n distinct real eigenvalues. The spectral measure may be considered for a Jacobi matrix J of any type. First of all, there is a measure μ satisfying

$$\int x^k d\mu = (J^k e_1, e_1) = J^k(1,1), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

A measure μ is unique only if it is determined by moments and is called the spectral measure of J or more precisely the spectral measure of (J, e_1). In the case of infinite Jacobi matrices, a sufficient condition for the uniqueness is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_i} = \infty \tag{2}$$

[24, Corollary 3.8.9], where $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ denote the subdiagonal entries. A finite Jacobi matrix J always has the spectral measure which is expressed as

$$\nu_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j^2 \delta_{\lambda_j}, \quad q_j = |v_j(1)|,$$

where $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are normalized eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$. For the case of $T_{n,\beta}$, it is known that the weights $\{q_j^2\}_{j=1}^n$ are distributed as Dirichlet distribution with parameter $\beta/2$ and are independent of the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ [9]. An easy but important consequence of this fact is that the empirical distribution $L_{n,\beta}$ and the spectral measure $\nu_{n,\beta}$ of $T_{n,\beta}$ have the same mean, $\bar{L}_{n,\beta} = \bar{\nu}_{n,\beta}$, where the mean $\bar{\mu}$ of a random probability measure μ is defined by

$$\bar{\mu}(A) = \mathbb{E}[\mu(A)]$$

for all Borel sets A.

1.3 Results

For fixed β, it is well known that both the empirical distribution and the spectral measure of $\left(\frac{n\beta}{2}+1\right)^{-1/2} T_{n,\beta}$ converge weakly to the semi-circle distribution almost surely (Wigner's semi-circle law). In fact, the limiting behavior for spectral measures in general follows directly from those of entries. In addition, the distance between two measures converges to zero, which gives another point of view to the classical Wigner's semi-circle law in terms of spectral measures [12]. Note that the results still hold when β varies but $n\beta \to \infty$.

The main subject of this paper is to consider the joint limit such that $n \to \infty$ and $\beta \to 0$ with $n\beta$ being bounded. The following results have been known [4, 14]. When $n\beta = 2\alpha$, each entry of $T_{n,\beta}$ converges in distribution to the corresponding entry of the i.i.d. (independent identically distributed) Jacobi matrix J_α, where

$$J_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{2\alpha} & & \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2\alpha} & \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{2\alpha} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the subdiagonal of J_α is an i.i.d. sequence, the condition (2) holds almost surely, so that the spectral measure μ_α of J_α is well-defined. Consequently, the spectral measure $\nu_{n,\beta}$ of $T_{n,\beta}$ converges weakly to μ_α in distribution, and thus, the mean $\bar{\nu}_{n,\beta} = \bar{L}_{n,\beta}$ converges weakly to $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$. Being different from spectral measures, the empirical distribution $L_{n,\beta}$ converges weakly to $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ in probability. This is stated in [4] and it is also possible to give an alternative proof by using the arguments in [14]. That the empirical distribution converges to a non random measure corresponds to the existence of the integrated density of states in the context of random Schrödinger operators, where its density is called the density of states.

Moreover the limiting measure $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ is explicitly computed in [1, 14] and is referred to as the probability measure of associated Hermite polynomials [3] whose density is given by

$$\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E) = \frac{e^{-E^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{|\hat{f}_\alpha(E)|^2}, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{f}_\alpha(E) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}+iEt} dt.$$

As is remarked in [4], the scaled measure $(\alpha+1)^{1/2}\bar{\mu}_\alpha((\alpha+1)^{1/2}E)$ tends to the semicircle distribution (resp. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$) as α tends to ∞ (resp. 0), being consistent with the results stated in the preceding paragraph. Hence $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ may be regarded as an interpolation between these two measures. A natural problem now is to study the fluctuation around the limit (that is, a CLT type statement).

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that a function f has continuous derivative of polynomial growth. Then as $n \to \infty$ with $n\beta = 2\alpha$,*

$$\sqrt{n}(\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle]) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2),$$

for some constant $\sigma_f^2 \geq 0$. Here $\langle \mu, f\rangle := \int f d\mu$, and '$\xrightarrow{d}$' denotes the convergence in distribution. .

There are already some results on CLT [10, 17] for fixed β in which the limiting variance is given explicitly. However, these approaches do not directly apply to our problem; or

at least we need more work. We propose here another approach which is based on the martingale difference central limit theorem to derive a CLT for polynomial test functions. Then we extend the CLT to continuous functions with continuous derivative of polynomial growth by the method which has recently been developed in [12].

The next problem is to consider the bulk scaling limit, that is, to study the limiting behavior of following point process

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{n(\lambda_j - E)},$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues of $T_{n,\beta}$ and E is a fixed real number. It is proved in [4] that as $n \to \infty$ with $\beta n = 2\alpha$, ξ_n converges to a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity (cf. Eq. (7) in [4])

$$\theta_E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{E^2}{2} + 2\alpha \int \log|E-y| \bar{\mu}_\alpha(dy) \right).$$

We note that the Sine_β process, which is the bulk scaling limit of GβE with fixed β, converges to the Poisson point process as $\beta \to 0$ [2], which is consistent with the statement above. The approach in [4] is based on analyzing the joint density of GβE. It was conjectured that the intensity θ_E should agree with the density of state $\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$. In this paper, we derive the same result with desired intensity $\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$.

Theorem 1.2. *As $n \to \infty$ with $n\beta = 2\alpha$, the point process ξ_n converges weakly to a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity $\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$.*

As a byproduct, we obtain a non-trivial identity $\theta_E = \bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$ which we are not able to prove directly so far. For the proof we note that $T_{n,\beta}$ exhibits the Anderson localization, that is, the eigenvectors are exponentially localized, so that we can make use of the well-established method in the field of random Schrödinger operators [20]. To apply the ideas in [20], we need (i) Wegner's bound, (ii) Minami's bound, (iii) exponential decay of Green's functions, and (iv) local law. Contrary to usual cases, the main issue here is to prove (iv) because $T_{n,\beta}$ has no translation invariance.

In the following sections we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Since our arguments are not specified to this particular matrices $T_{n,\beta}$, we work under a general setting. In Section 2, we consider random Jacobi matrices with independent entries. By refining Minami's method, we prove the Poisson statistics for ξ_n under some mild conditions. Two important sufficient conditions among them, which are non-trivial in this setting, are (iii) the exponential decay of Green's functions, and (iv) the local law. In Section 3, we show that (iii) holds for a class of Jacobi matrices including GβE. There are some approaches for that among which we use the so-called the operator method [23]. Section 4 is the main part of this paper where we prove Theorem 1.1 and the local law. Since our model is closely related to i.i.d. Jacobi matrices, we will also discuss some known results about i.i.d. Jacobi matrices. In Appendix A (resp. B) we recall the martingale difference CLT (resp. precise definition of convergence in distribution of random probability measures).

2 Poisson statistics

Consider a sequence of random Jacobi matrices

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & b_{n-1} & a_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ are independent random variables with an assumption that $b_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. For different n, the sequences $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ may be different. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of J_n. Let ξ_n be the local statistics around $E \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, a point process on $\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{n(\lambda_j - E)}. \tag{3}$$

A real number E is referred to as a reference energy. The purpose of this section is to provide sufficient conditions for the point process ξ_n to converge to a homogeneous Poisson point process.

When $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are stationary sequences, it is well known that for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\#\{1 \le j \le n : \lambda_j \le E\} \to N(E), \text{ almost surely as } n \to \infty,$$

where $N(E)$ is a non-random function called the integrated density of states [6]. The derivative $\mathbf{n}(E) = dN(E)/dE$ when exists is called the density of states at the energy E.

Jacobi matrices with $b_i \equiv 1$ are called discrete Schrödinger operators and the diagonal $\{a_i\}$ is referred to as potentials. In case of i.i.d. potentials, when the common distribution has bounded density and a bounded moment of positive order, the Green's function of J_n decays exponentially fast. As a result, the local statistics converges to a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity $\mathbf{n}(E)$, provided that $\mathbf{n}(E)$ exists and is positive [20].

This section generalizes the above well-known result on discrete Schrödinger operators to the case of general random Jacobi matrices. Our result can be roughly stated as follows. Under some mild conditions on $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$, the Poisson statistics follows under the assumption that Green's functions decay exponentially fast and an additional condition called the local law. Here the local law requires that the expected number of points of the point process ξ_n lying in a bounded interval is proportion to (in the limit as $n \to \infty$) the length of the interval. In the i.i.d. case, the local law is a consequence of the exponential decay of Green's functions. See Section 4 for more details.

Now let us explain some terminologies. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of all non-negative Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the vague topology. Here the vague topology is the topology in which a sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ converges to $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mu_n(dx) =: \mu_n(f) \to \mu(f) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

for all f in $C_K^+(\mathbb{R})$, the space of all non-negative continuous functions with compact support. A subset $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$ of all integer valued Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}$ then becomes a closed set

in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$. A point process is defined to be an $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$-valued random variable. Note that an element $\xi \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as

$$\xi = \sum_j \delta_{x_j},$$

where $\{x_j\}$ is a sequence of real numbers having no finite accumulation point.

An important example of point processes is a Poisson point process. Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}$. A point process ξ is said to be a Poisson point process with intensity measure μ if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) for bounded Borel set A, $\xi(A)$ has Poisson distribution with parameter $\mu(A)$, namely

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi(A) = k) = e^{-\mu(A)} \frac{\mu(A)^k}{k!}, k = 0, 1, \dots;$$

(b) for disjoint bounded Borel sets $A_1, \dots, A_m$, the random variables $\xi(A_1), \dots, \xi(A_m)$ are independent.

A Poisson point process with intensity measure θdx is called a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity θ.

A sequence of point processes $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is said to converge weakly (or in distribution) to a point process ξ if for any bounded continuous function $\Phi(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\xi_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\xi)] \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Note that $\{\xi_n\}$ and ξ may be defined on different probability spaces but we use the same symbol $\mathbb{E}$ to denote the expectation. The weak convergence of point processes is known to be equivalent to the following statement: for any $\varphi \in C_K^+(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi_n(\varphi)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi(\varphi)}].$$

For $\zeta = \sigma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$, let

$$f_\zeta(x) := \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{x - \zeta} = \frac{\tau}{(x-\sigma)^2 + \tau^2}.$$

Define a class $\mathcal{A}$ of test functions of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{a_j \tau}{(x - \sigma_j)^2 + \tau^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j f_{\zeta_j}(x),$$

with $m \geq 1, \tau > 0$ and $a_j > 0, \sigma_j \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta_j = \sigma_j + i\tau$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We will use the following criterion for the weak convergence of point processes.

Lemma 2.1 ([20, Lemma 1])**.** *Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ξ be point processes such that $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(dx)] \leq Cdx$, and $\mathbb{E}[\xi(dx)] \leq Cdx$. Then ξ_n converges weakly to ξ, if and only if for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi_n(f)}] \to \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi(f)}] \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Here $\mathbb{E}[\xi(dx)]$ denotes the intensity measure or the mean measure of a point process ξ, a measure μ defined as

$$\mu(A) = \mathbb{E}[\xi(A)], \text{ for all bounded Borel sets } A.$$

We are now in a position to give sufficient conditions for the Poisson statistics. For $\zeta = \sigma + i\tau$, it is straight forward to deduce that

$$\xi_n(f_\zeta) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Im}\,\frac{1}{\lambda_j - (E + \frac{\zeta}{n})} = \frac{1}{n}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,G_n(z), (z = E + \frac{\zeta}{n}),$$

where $G_n(z) = (J_n - z)^{-1}$ is the Green's function, or the resolvent of J_n. Recall that our aim is to consider the limiting behaviour of the local statistics ξ_n associated with the Jacobi matrix J_n as n tends to infinity. The sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, in general, depend on n but all constants in this paper will be assumed to be independent of n. Sufficient conditions for the Poisson statistics read as follows.

A. The random variable a_i has probability density function ρ_i, and ρ_i is uniformly bounded, that is,
$$\|\rho_i\|_\infty \le M_A, (i = 1, \ldots, n).$$

B. For some $T > 1$,
$$\mathbb{E}[b_i^T] \le M_B, (i = 1, \ldots, n-1).$$

G. (Exponential decay of Green's functions) For some $0 < s < 1$,
$$\mathbb{E}[|G_{[u,v]}(z; y, x)|^s] \le C_s e^{-\mu_s |y-x|}, \text{ for } y \in \{u, v\}, x \in [u, v], \tag{4}$$
and for all $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C}_+ : |z - E| < \delta\}$, δ being some positive constant. Here $G_{[u,v]}(z) = (J_n^{[u,v]} - z)^{-1}$ is the Green's function of $J_n^{[u,v]}$, the restriction of J_n on $[u, v] = \{u, u+1, \ldots, v\}$.

L. (Local law) There exists a positive constant θ such that
$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(A)] \to \theta|A| \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{5}$$
for all bounded intervals A. Note that $\xi_n(A) = \#\{\lambda_j \in E + \frac{A}{n}\}$.

L′. (Local law) There exists a positive constant θ such that for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_+$,
$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_\zeta)] \to \pi\theta \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{6}$$

When the intensity measures of the point processes $\{\xi_n\}$ are uniformly bounded, that is, $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(dx)] \le Cdx$, then Condition L′ implies Condition L. Indeed, assume that Condition L′ holds. Let A be a bounded interval. Then there are functions $\{f_k\}_{k\ge1}$ in $\mathcal{A}$,

$$f_k = \sum_{j:finite} a_{k,j} f_{\zeta_{k,j}}, (a_{k,j} > 0),$$

that converge to $\mathbf{1}_A$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ [20]. For each k, it follows from Condition L′ that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_k)] \to \pi\theta \sum_j a_{k,j} = \theta\|f_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

In addition, for all n, by the uniformly bounded assumption,

$$|\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_k)] - \mathbb{E}[\xi_n(\mathbf{1}_A)]| \le C\|f_k - \mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(\mathbf{1}_A)] \to \theta\|\mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \theta|A| \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Now we can state the main result in this section.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that Conditions A, B, G and L hold. Then the local statistics*

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{n(\lambda_j - E)}$$

converges weakly to a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity θ.

Let us give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.2. The main stream is similar to [20]. We will omit proofs of trivially extended results. The idea is as follows. Divide $[1, n]$ into small intervals $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ of length $\sim n^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. For each p, consider the restriction of J_n on C_p and the point process

$$\eta_{n,p} = \sum_j \delta_{n(\lambda_j^{(C_p)} - E)},$$

where $\{\lambda_j^{(C_p)}\}$ are the eigenvalues of $J_n^{C_p}$. Then ξ_n is well approximated by the sum of independent negligible point processes $\{\eta_{n,p}\}_p$, which implies the convergence to a Poisson point process.

In order to apply the criterion for the weak convergence of point processes stated in Lemma 2.1, we need the following result which is well known as Wegner's estimate. See [20] and references therein for the proof.

Lemma 2.3 (Wegner's estimate)**.** *Assume that Condition A holds. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G_{[u,v]}(z; x, x)] \le M_A \pi,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and $1 \le u \le x \le v \le n$. Consequently, $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_\zeta)] \le M_A \pi$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and hence, $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(dx)] \le M_A dx$.

The following result shows that ξ_n is well approximated by the sum of $\{\eta_{n,p}\}_p$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that Conditions A, B and G hold. Then for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_+$,*

$$\sum_p \eta_{n,p}(f_\zeta) - \xi_n(f_\zeta) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ in } L^1 \text{ and in probability.}$$

Proof. This is a generalization of Step 3 in [20] to the case of Jacobi matrices. We begin with the following expression

$$\sum_p \eta_{n,p}(f_\zeta) - \xi_n(f_\zeta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_p \sum_{x \in C_p} \left(\operatorname{Im} G_{C_p}(z; x, x) - \operatorname{Im} G_n(z; x, x) \right), \tag{7}$$

where $z = E + \frac{\zeta}{n}$. For simplicity of notations, let $C_p = [u, v]$. It follows from the resolvent equation that

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{C_p}(z; x, x) - G_n(z; x, x) \\ &= G_n(z; x, u-1) b_{u-1} G_{[u,v]}(z; u, x) + G_n(z; x, v+1) b_v G_{[u,v]}(z; v, x). \end{aligned}$$

Here by setting $b_0 := 0$ and $b_n := 0$, the first term or the second term is neglected when $u = 1$ or $v = n$. We bound the first term as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|G_n(z;x,u-1)b_{u-1}G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)|] &\le \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|^{2-\varepsilon}}\mathbb{E}[b_{u-1}|G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)|^{\varepsilon}] \\
&\le \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|^{2-\varepsilon}}\mathbb{E}[b_{u-1}^T]^{1/T}\mathbb{E}[|G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)|^{\varepsilon q}]^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|^{2-\varepsilon}}\mathbb{E}[b_{u-1}^T]^{1/T}\mathbb{E}[|G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)|^{s}]^{1/q} \\
&\le \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|^{2-\varepsilon}}M_B^{1/T}(C_s e^{-\mu_s(x-u)})^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|^{2-\varepsilon}}\tilde{M}e^{-\tilde{\mu}(x-u)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here q is the Hölder conjugate number of T, that is, $T^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $\varepsilon = s/q$, and $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are positive constants. We have used Hölder's inequality and trivial estimates that $|G_n(z;x,u-1| \le 1/\operatorname{Im} z$, and $|G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)| \le 1/\operatorname{Im} z$. Thus, for $z = E + \zeta/n$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(z;x,u-1)b_{u-1}G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)|] \le \hat{M}n^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\tilde{\mu}(x-u)} \le \hat{M}n^{-\varepsilon},$$

if $x - u > 2\log n/\tilde{\mu}$. Now let $\operatorname{int}(C_p) = \operatorname{int}([u,v]) := (u + 2\log n/\tilde{\mu}, v - 2\log n/\tilde{\mu})$ and $\partial(C_p) = C_p \setminus \operatorname{int}(C_p)$. With these notations, we see that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_p \sum_{x\in\operatorname{int}(C_p)} \mathbb{E}[|G_n(z;x,u-1)b_{u-1}G_{[u,v]}(z;u,x)|] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The second term can be estimated in the same way. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_p \sum_{x\in\operatorname{int}(C_p)} |\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G_{C_p}(z;x,x)] - \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G_n(z;x,x)]| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

For $x \in \partial(C_p)$, note that the expectation of each summand in (7) is bounded by $2M_A\pi$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_p \sum_{x\in\partial(C_p)} |\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G_{C_p}(z;x,x)] - \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G_n(z;x,x)]| \le \frac{2M_A\pi}{n}\sum_p \#\partial(C_p) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is complete. □

Let $\eta_n = \sum_p \eta_{n,p}$. Then $\mathbb{E}[\eta_n(dx)] \le M_A dx$ by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$\xi_n(f) - \eta_n(f) \to 0 \text{ in } L^1 \text{ and in probability,}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, ξ_n and η_n have the same limit by taking into account Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.5. *Assume that Conditions A, B, G and L hold. Then for any bounded interval A,*

$$\xi_n(A) - \eta_n(A) \to 0 \text{ in } L^1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_n(A)] = \sum_p \mathbb{E}[\eta_{n,p}(A)] \to \theta|A| \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

Proof. The proof is omitted because it is similar to the previous proof of deriving Condition L from Condition L′. □

Finally, the negligibility of the point processes $\{\eta_{n,p}\}_p$ is governed by Minami's estimate. The following statement is a trivial extension of the equation (2.53) in [20] to the case of general Jacobi matrices.

Lemma 2.6 (Minami's estimate)**.** *Assume that Condition A holds. Then for any bounded interval A,*

$$\sum_p \sum_{j\geq 2} \mathbb{P}(\eta_{n,p}(A) \geq j) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{9}$$

Minami's estimate, together with the equation (8), yields

$$\sum_p \mathbb{P}(\eta_{n,p}(A) \geq 1) \to \theta|A| \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, the point process η_n, as the sum of independent negligible point processes $\{\eta_{n,p}\}_p$, converges weakly to a Poisson point process with intensity θ by [7, Theorem 9.2.V]. Consequently, the point process ξ_n also converges weakly to that Poisson point process because $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{\eta_n\}$ have the same limit. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

3 Exponential decay of Green's functions

In this section, we consider the case when $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables. The sequences $\{\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}\}_n$ may depend on n. We show that under Conditions A, B and an additional condition on the regular of the common probability density functions of $\{a_i\}$, the exponential decay of Green's functions holds, that is, Condition G automatically holds.

A random variable with probability density function ρ is said to be fluctuation regular if there are positive constants ε, δ, and a measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_\Omega \rho(a)da > 0$ such that for any $a \in \Omega$, and all $x_1, x_2 \in (a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon)$,

$$\frac{\rho(x_1)}{\rho(x_2)} \geq \delta.$$

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [23, Theorem 4])**.** *Assume that Conditions A and B hold. Moreover, assume that the common probability density function of the i.i.d. sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is fluctuation regular. Then for $0 < s < 1$ and $\Lambda > 0$, there are positive constants A and γ such that for all $\lambda \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(\lambda; x, y)|^s] \leq Ae^{-\gamma|x-y|}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as that of Theorem 4 in [23]. The only thing we need to verify is the uniform estimate in (2.46). But it is an easy consequence of Condition B because for any $b > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(b_i > b) \leq \frac{1}{b^T}\mathbb{E}[b_i^T] \leq \frac{M_B}{b^T}.$$ □

Theorem 3.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 3.1, for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(z; y, x)|^{s/2}] \leq A'e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|y-x|}, \text{ for } y \in \{1, n\}, x \in [1, n],$$

and for all $z = \lambda + i\tau, \lambda \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$. Here γ is the constant in Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.3. Since all constants here do not depend on n, the result holds for any restriction of J_n on an interval $[u, v]$, that is,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_{[u,v]}(z; y, x)|^{s/2}] \le A' e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|y-x|}, \text{ for } y \in \{u, v\}, x \in [u, v],$$

and for all $z = \lambda + i\tau, \lambda \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$, which implies Condition G.

Lemma 3.4 (cf. [16, Lemma 5])**.** *Assume that Condition A holds. Then for* $0 < s < 1$*,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(z; x, y)|^s] \le C_s, \text{ for } x, y \in [1, n], \text{and for all } z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where C_s *is a constant which depends only on* s *and* M_A*.*

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Without loss of generality, assume that $y = 1$. Let us first consider the case $x = n$. For Jacobi matrices, we can easily check the following relation

$$G_n(z; 1, n) = -\frac{b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}}{\det(z - J_n)}.$$

Note that all eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of J_n are real. Thus for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G_n(\lambda + i\tau; 1, n)| &= \frac{b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}}{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j - \lambda - i\tau) \right|} = \frac{b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}}{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n \left((\lambda_j - \lambda)^2 + \tau^2 \right) \right|^{1/2}} \\ &\le \frac{b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}}{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j - \lambda)^2 \right|^{1/2}} = |G_n(\lambda; 1, n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(\lambda + i\tau; 1, n)|^s] \le \mathbb{E}[|G_n(\lambda; 1, n)|^s],$$

and hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(\lambda + i\tau; 1, n)|^s] \le \mathbb{E}[|G_n(\lambda; 1, n)|^s] \le A e^{-\gamma(n-1)}, \tag{11}$$

for $\lambda \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$, where A and γ are the constants in Theorem 3.1.

Next we consider the case $x < n$. Note that the above estimate still holds, if n is replaced by x, namely,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_x(\lambda + i\tau; 1, x)|^s] \le \mathbb{E}[|G_x(\lambda; 1, x)|^s] \le A e^{-\gamma(x-1)},$$

where $G_x(z)$ denotes the Green's function of J_x, the restriction of J_n on $[1, x]$. Then the desired bound for $\mathbb{E}[|G_n(\lambda + i\tau; 1, x)|^s]$ will follow by using the resolvent equation and Hölder's inequality. Indeed, the resolvent equation yields

$$G_n(z; 1, x) = G_x(z; 1, x)(1 + b_x G_n(z; x + 1, 1)).$$

Then by Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(z; 1, x)|^{s/2}] \le \mathbb{E}[|G_x(z; 1, x)|^s]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}[|1 + b_x G_n(z; x + 1, 1)|^s]^{1/2}.$$

In addition, the second factor is uniformly bounded, because

$$\mathbb{E}[|1 + b_x G_n(z; x + 1, 1)|^s] \le (1 + \mathbb{E}[b_x^{2s}]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}[|G_n(z; x + 1, 1)|^{2s}]^{1/2}).$$

Note that we need the assumption that $s < 1/2$ here. Therefore, for all $\lambda \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|G_n(z; 1, x)|^{s/2}] \le A' e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}(x-1)},$$

for some constant $A' > 0$. Theorem 3.2 is proved. □

4 Global law and local law

4.1 i.i.d. Jacobi matrices

Let $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables and $\{b_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be another i.i.d. sequence positive random variables which is independent of $\{a_i\}$. Let J be a doubly infinite Jacobi matrix formed from $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & b_0 & a_1 & b_1 & & \\ & & b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

The Jacobi matrix J is regarded as an operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a domain

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{\psi = (\psi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : \psi_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then J is essentially self-adjoint almost surely because

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_i^2} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{b_i^2} = \infty, \text{a.s.},$$

see [6, p. 122]. Let $G(z)$ be the resolvent of J, $G(z) = (J - z)^{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Then there is a unique probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, called the spectral measure of (J, e_1), satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu(dx)}{x - z} = (G(z)e_1, e_1) = G(z; 1, 1), z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

The left hand side of the above formula is the Stieltjes transform of μ which is denoted by $S_\mu(z)$ from now on. Let $\bar{\mu}$ be the mean of μ. Then

$$S_{\bar{\mu}}(z) = \mathbb{E}[S_\mu(z)] = \mathbb{E}[G(z; 1, 1)].$$

Consider a sequence of finite Jacobi matrices

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & b_{n-1} & a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_j}$$

be the empirical distribution of J_n, where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of J_n. Then

$$N_n(E) = \frac{1}{n} \#\{1 \le j \le n : \lambda_j \le E\}$$

is nothing but the distribution function of L_n. The following result is well known as the existence of the integrated density of state (ids for short).

Theorem 4.1. *The empirical distribution L_n converges weakly to $\bar{\mu}$ almost surely as n tends to infinity. This means that for any bounded continuous function f,*

$$\langle L_n, f\rangle \to \langle \bar{\mu}, f\rangle \text{ almost surely as } n \to \infty.$$

Here recall that $\langle \mu, f\rangle := \int f d\mu$ for a probability measure μ and a measurable function f.

Remark 4.2. The existence of ids can be rewritten in the following form

$$N_n(E) \to N(E) \text{ almost surely as } n \to \infty,$$

at any continuous point E of $N(E)$, where $N(E)$ is the distribution function of $\bar{\mu}$, $N(E) = \bar{\mu}((-\infty, E])$. These results may be regarded as the strong law of large numbers. Then the next natural question is about the central limit theorem (CLT) which is related to the second order of the above convergence. The following results were known.

(i) Reznikova [22] considered discrete Schrödinger operators with i.i.d. potentials whose common distribution has continuous probability density function with compact support. It was proved that the random process

$$N_n^*(E) := \sqrt{n}(N_n(E) - N(E))$$

converges to a Gaussian process in the sense of convergence of finite distributions.

(ii) Girko and Vasil′ev [15] considered general i.i.d. Jacobi matrices and derived a CLT for a suitable scaling of $(\tilde{N}_n(E_1) - \tilde{N}_n(E_2))$, where

$$\tilde{N}_n(E) = \frac{1}{a}\int \frac{N_n(E+ay)}{1+y^2} dy, (a > 0),$$

is a smooth version of $N_n(E)$.

(iii) Recently, Krish and Pastur [19] reconsidered discrete Schrödinger operators with bounded i.i.d. potentials and established a CLT for $\operatorname{Tr} G_n(x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ does not lie in the spectrum of J.

(iv) In random matrix theory, we want to extend such CLT for as large as possible class of test functions. In the next subsection, for Gaussian beta ensembles, we are going to establish a CLT for continuous test function with continuous derivative of polynomial growth. As a preparation for the next subsection, we study a CLT for polynomial test functions in case where $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ have all finite moments.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ have all finite moments. Then for any non trivial polynomial p,*

$$\sqrt{n}(\langle L_n, p\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_n, p\rangle]) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_p^2), \tag{13}$$

for some constant $\sigma_p^2 \geq 0$.

Proof. Let p be a polynomial of degree $m > 0$. Let us first prove the law of large numbers for $\langle L_n, p\rangle$. We begin with the following expression

$$\langle L_n, p\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Tr} p(J_n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} p(J_n)(j,j).$$

Observe that the sequence $\{p(J_n)(j,j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a part of a stationary process except some first and some last terms. More precisely, let $\theta_j = \theta_j(p) = p(J)(j,j)$. Recall that J is the doubly infinite Jacobi matrix defined in (12). Then $\{\theta_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a stationary process. Moreover, $p(J_n)(j,j) = \theta_j$, if $m/2 < j < n - m/2$. Now the expectation of θ_1 is finite because all moments of a_i and b_i are finite. Thus by the ergodic theorem,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_j \to \mathbb{E}[\theta_1] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{a.s.}.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle L_n, p\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} p(J_n)(j,j) \to \mathbb{E}[\theta_1(p)] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{a.s.}.$$

Next, we consider the central limit theorem for $\langle L_n, p\rangle$. The idea here is to apply the martingale difference central limit theorem quoted in Appendix A. Let $\mathcal{F}_{n,k} = \sigma(a_i, b_i : 1 \le i \le k)$, for $1 \le k \le n$, $\mathcal{F}_{n,0} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n,k} &= \mathbb{E}[n\langle L_n, p\rangle|\mathcal{F}_{n,k}], (0 \le k \le n),\\ Y_{n,k} &= X_{n,k} - X_{n,k-1}, (1 \le k \le n),\\ \sigma_{n,k}^2 &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}], (1 \le k \le n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the CLT (13) follows from Theorem A.2, provided that the following two conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma_{n,k}^2 \to \sigma_p^2 \text{ in probability as } n\to\infty, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^4] \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty, \tag{15}$$

where $\sigma_p^2 \ge 0$ is a constant.

Let us show the condition (14). Note that $p(J_n)(j,j)$ depends on $\{a_{j\pm k}, b_{j\pm k}\}_{k=0,\dots,\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor}$. Therefore $Y_{n,k}$, and hence $\sigma_{n,k}^2$, depends only on $\{a_{k\pm j}, b_{k\pm j}\}_{j=0,\dots,m}$. Consequently, $\sigma_{n,k}^2 =: \hat{\sigma}_k^2$ does not depend on n, if $m < k < n - m$. The sequence $\{\hat{\sigma}_k^2\}_{k>m}$ becomes a stationary process, and thus by the ergodic theorem,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=m+1}^{n-m-1}\hat{\sigma}_k^2 \to \mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}_{m+1}^2] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{a.s.},$$

from which the condition (14) follows.

For the condition (15), note that $\mathbb{E}[|Y_{n,k}|^4] \le M$ for some constant M which does not depend on n and k. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[|Y_{n,k}|^4] \le \frac{M}{n} \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

The theorem is proved. □

Let us move on to the main topic of this subsection. Recall that the density $\bar{\mu}(E)$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) when exists is called the density of state. The local law in this case is a consequence of the exponential decay of Green's functions.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that Conditions A, B and G holds. Assume further that $\bar{\mu}(E)$ exists at E and is positive. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_\zeta)] \to \pi\bar{\mu}(E) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Fix $\zeta = \sigma + i\tau$. Recall that

$$\xi_n(f_\zeta) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{x=1}^{n} \operatorname{Im} G_n(z; x, x),$$

where $z = E + \zeta/n$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4, we can deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_\zeta)] - \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G(z; 1, 1)] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{x=1}^{n}(\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G_n(z; x, x)] - \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G(z; x, x)]) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

In addition, note that

$$\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G(z; 1, 1)] = \operatorname{Im} S_{\bar{\mu}}(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) \to \pi\bar{\mu}(E),$$

provided that the density $\bar{\mu}(E)$ exists at E, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. □

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that Conditions A and B hold and that the probability density function of the common distribution of $\{a_i\}$ is fluctuation regular. Then the point processes $\{\xi_n\}$ converge weakly to a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity $\bar{\mu}(E)$, provided that $\bar{\mu}(E)$ exists at E and is positive.*

4.2 Gaussian beta ensembles at high temperature

4.2.1 Global law

We consider the asymptotic behaviour of the (scaled) GβE as $n \to \infty$ with $n\beta = 2\alpha$. Recall that the scaled GβE here is associated with the following random Jacobi matrix

$$T_{n,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{(n-1)\beta} & & \\ \tilde{\chi}_{(n-1)\beta} & \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{(n-2)\beta} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \tilde{\chi}_{\beta} & \mathcal{N}(0,1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We still denote the entries of $T_{n,\beta}$ by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\}$ though they vary as a function of n and β. We use the same notations as in the previous subsection. Let $L_{n,\beta}$ be the empirical distribution of $T_{n,\beta}$,

$$L_{n,\beta} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_j},$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $T_{n,\beta}$, which are distributed as

$$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \propto |\Delta(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n^2)} d\lambda.$$

Another interesting object when studying global limiting behaviors of Gaussian beta ensembles is the spectral measure. The spectral measure of $T_{n,\beta}$ is defined as a probability measure $\nu_{n,\beta}$ satisfying

$$\langle \nu_{n,\beta}, x^k \rangle = (T_{n,\beta}^k e_1, e_1) = T_{n,\beta}^k(1,1), k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Let $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be normalized eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then $v_1, \dots, v_n$ form an orthonormal system in $\mathbb{R}^n$ because the eigenvalues are distinct. The spectral measure ν_n can be expressed as

$$\nu_{n,\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j^2 \delta_{\lambda_j}, \quad q_j = |v_j(1)|.$$

The weights $(q_1^2, \dots, q_n^2)$ are known to have symmetric Dirichlet distribution with parameter $\beta/2$, and are independent of the eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

Since q_j plays equal role for $j = 1, \dots, n$, it follows that $\mathbb{E}[q_j^2] = 1/n$. Consequently, the mean of the empirical measure coincides with the mean of the spectral measure, namely, $\bar{L}_{n,\beta} = \bar{\nu}_{n,\beta}$. In the regime that $n\beta = 2\alpha$, it was shown in [1, 14] that the mean measures $\bar{L}_{n,\beta} = \bar{\nu}_{n,\beta}$ converge weakly to a non random probability measure. The limiting measure, denoted by $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$, is the spectral measure of the following infinite Jacobi matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\alpha+1} & & \\ \sqrt{\alpha+1} & 0 & \sqrt{\alpha+2} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we call it the probability measure of associated Hermite polynomials [3]. The density of $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ is given by

$$\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E) = \frac{e^{-E^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{|\hat{f}_\alpha(E)|^2}, \text{where } \hat{f}_\alpha(E) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} + iEt} dt.$$

Let us shortly explain the above fact. Let J_α be an infinite i.i.d. Jacobi matrix whose entries are distributed as

$$J_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{2\alpha} & & \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2\alpha} & \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \tilde{\chi}_{2\alpha} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then each entry of $T_{n,\beta}$ converges in distribution to the corresponding entry of J_α as $n \to \infty$. We can choose a realization such that the convergence holds almost surely. Consequently, on that realization, all moments of the spectral measure ν_n converge to those of μ_α, the spectral measure of J_α, almost surely. Note that the spectral measure μ_α is unique, or is determined by moments, almost surely. Therefore, on such realization, $\nu_{n,\beta}$ converges weakly to μ_α almost surely by Corollary B.8. In general, we can only state that the spectral measure $\nu_{n,\beta}$ converges weakly to μ_α in distribution. It follows that the mean $\bar{\nu}_{n,\beta}$ converges weakly to $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$, the mean of μ_α.

Theorem 4.6 (Global law [4, Proposition 2.1]). *As $n \to \infty$ with $n\beta = 2\alpha$, the empirical distributions $\{L_{n,\beta}\}$ converge weakly to $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ in probability.*

It was shown in [4] that $\mathrm{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, p\rangle] = O(1/n)$ as $n \to \infty$, which implies that

$$\langle L_{n,\beta}, p\rangle \to \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, p\rangle \text{ in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

for any polynomial p. Thus the empirical distributions $\{L_{n,\beta}\}$ converge weakly to $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ in probability because the probability measure $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ is determined by moments (Corollary B.8). Moreover, the convergence of moments also implies that

$$\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle \to \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, f\rangle \text{ in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

for any continuous function f of polynomial growth.

Next, we investigate the fluctuation around the limit, or a type of central limit theorem for $\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle$. For fixed β, several approaches could solve such problem [10, 17]. However, those methods do not seem to directly work in this case. We propose here an approach which uses the martingale difference central limit theorem to derive the CLT for polynomial test functions as in the previous subsection. Then extending the CLT to continuous test functions having continuous derivative of polynomial growth can be done by the method which has recently been developed in [12].

Note that for the i.i.d. Jacobi matrix J_α, the following central limit theorem has been established in the previous subsection,

$$\sqrt{n}(\langle L_n(\alpha), p\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_n(\alpha), p\rangle]) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_p^2(\alpha)) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Here $L_n(\alpha)$ is the empirical distribution of $J_\alpha^{[1,n]}$, the restriction of J_α on $[1, n]$.

Theorem 4.7. *For any non constant polynomial p, as $n \to \infty$ with $n\beta = 2\alpha$,*

$$\sqrt{n}(\langle L_{n,\beta}, p\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, p\rangle]) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_p^2),$$

where $\sigma_p^2 = \int_0^1 \sigma_p^2(u\alpha)du$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.3. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{n,k} &= \sigma(a_i, b_i : 1 \le i \le k),\\
X_{n,k} &= \mathbb{E}[n\langle L_{n,\beta}, p\rangle | \mathcal{F}_{n,k}],\\
Y_{n,k} &= X_{n,k} - X_{n,k-1},\\
\sigma_{n,k}^2 &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}].
\end{aligned}$$

We need to check the following two conditions

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{n,k}^2 \to \sigma_p^2 \text{ in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|Y_{n,k}|^4] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The latter is trivial because $\mathbb{E}[|Y_{n,k}|^4]$ is uniformly bounded.

Let us show the former. Recall that $\sigma^2_{n,k}$ depends only on $\{a_{k\pm j}, b_{k\pm j}\}_{j=0,\dots,m}$, where m is the degree of p. Let $\sigma^2_{n,k}(\alpha)$ be the corresponding quantity of the i.i.d. model J_α. Since $b_{k\pm j} \sim \tilde{\chi}_{(n-k\mp j)\beta} = \tilde{\chi}_{(1-\frac{k}{n}\mp\frac{j}{n})2\alpha}$, it is clear that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}[\sigma^2_{n,k}] - \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2_{n,k}((1-\frac{k}{n})\alpha)]\right| < c_{n,m} \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{for all } m < k < n-m.$$

Recall also that $\sigma^2_p((1-\frac{k}{n})\alpha) = \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2_{n,k}((1-\frac{k}{n})\alpha)]$, for $m < k < n-m$. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[\sigma^2_{n,k}] \to \int_0^1 \sigma^2_p(u\alpha)du =: \sigma^2_p \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

In addition, $\sigma^2_{n,i}$ and $\sigma^2_{n,j}$ are independent, if $|i-j| > 2m$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma^2_{n,k}\right] = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{|i-j|\le 2m}\mathrm{Cov}(\sigma^2_{n,i},\sigma^2_{n,j}) = O(\frac{1}{n}) \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma^2_{n,k} \to \sigma^2_p \text{ in probability as } n\to\infty.$$

Note that we have proved that

$$n\,\mathrm{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, p\rangle] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[\sigma^2_{n,k}] \to \sigma^2_p \text{ as } n\to\infty. \tag{16}$$

We will need this property in the proof of Theorem 4.9. The proof is complete. □

To extend the CLT from polynomials to general test functions, we adopt the following approach which slightly improves an existence method quoted in [11, Proposition 4.1]. First, base on the joint density of Gaussian beta ensembles, the following estimate is derived (cf. [12, Eq. (14)]),

$$n\,\mathrm{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle] \le \langle \bar{L}_{n,\beta}, (f')^2\rangle, \tag{17}$$

for all continuous functions f with continuous derivative. Next, in the regime that $n\beta = 2\alpha$, as $n\to\infty$,

$$\langle \bar{L}_{n,\beta}, (f')^2\rangle \to \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, (f')^2\rangle < \infty,$$

provided that f is a continuous function with continuous derivative of polynomial growth. Last, according to those estimates, the CLT for such function f is derived by taking into account the following result.

Lemma 4.8 ([5, Theorem 25.5])**.** *Let $\{Y_n\}_n$ and $\{X_{n,k}\}_{k,n}$ be real-valued random variables. Assume that*

(i) $X_{n,k} \xrightarrow{d} X_k$ *as* $n\to\infty$;

(ii) $X_k \xrightarrow{d} X$ *as* $k\to\infty$;

(iii) *for any* $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(|X_{n,k} - Y_n| \ge \varepsilon) = 0$.

Then $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

We restate Theorem 1.1 here.

Theorem 4.9. *Assume that a function* f *has continuous derivative of polynomial growth. Then as* $n \to \infty$ *with* $n\beta = 2\alpha$,

$$\sqrt{n}(\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle]) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2),$$

for some constant $\sigma_f^2 \geq 0$.

Proof. Let f be a continuous function whose derivative is continuous and of polynomial growth. Since the probability measure $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ is determined by moments, the space of all polynomials is dense in $L^2(\bar{\mu}_\alpha)$ [8, Corollary 2.50]. Take a sequence of polynomials $\{p_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ which converges to f' in $L^2(\bar{\mu}_\alpha)$, and let P_k be a primitive function of p_k, that is, $P_k' = p_k$. We claim that the limit

$$\sigma_f^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle] \tag{18}$$

exists and that

$$\sigma_f^2 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sigma_{P_k}^2. \tag{19}$$

Indeed, the estimate (17) applying to $f - P_k$ yields,

$$n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f - P_k\rangle] \leq \langle \bar{L}_{n,\beta}, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f - P_k\rangle] \leq \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle.$$

Thus by triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle])^{1/2} \\
&\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, P_k\rangle])^{1/2} + \limsup_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f - P_k\rangle])^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sigma_{P_k} + \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle])^{1/2} \\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, P_k\rangle])^{1/2} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f - P_k\rangle])^{1/2} \\
&\geq \sigma_{P_k} - \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the equation (16) for the polynomial P_k. It follows that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (n \operatorname{Var}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle])^{1/2} =: \sigma_f$$

exists, and that

$$|\sigma_f - \sigma_{P_k}| \leq \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle^{1/2} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

which proves the equations (18) and (19).

Let

$$Y_n = \sqrt{n}(\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, f\rangle]),$$
$$X_{n,k} = \sqrt{n}(\langle L_{n,\beta}, P_k\rangle - \mathbb{E}[\langle L_{n,\beta}, P_k\rangle]).$$

We are going to check three conditions in Lemma 4.8. Conditions (i) and (ii) are clear. Indeed, for any k, since P_k is a polynomial, it follows from Theorem 4.7 that

$$X_{n,k} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{P_k}^2) =: X_k \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

In addition, X_k converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2)$ as $k \to \infty$ by the equation (19).

For the condition (iii), recall that

$$\mathrm{Var}[X_{n,k} - Y_n] \le \langle \bar{L}_{n,\beta}, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle,$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Var}[X_{n,k} - Y_n] \le \langle \bar{\mu}_\alpha, (f' - p_k)^2\rangle \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_{n,k} - Y_n| \ge \varepsilon) \le \lim_{k\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathrm{Var}[X_{n,k} - Y_n] = 0.$$

The theorem is proved. □

4.2.2 Local law

The purpose of this section is to establish Condition L′ with $\theta = \bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$ for Gaussian beta ensembles in the regime that $n\beta = 2\alpha$. Note that Condition G holds as a consequence of the general result in Section 3. Therefore once Condition L′ is proved, Theorem 1.2 follows, namely, we have

Theorem 4.10. *As $n \to \infty$ with $n\beta = 2\alpha$, the point process*

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{n(\lambda_j - E)}$$

converges weakly to a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity $\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$.

To establish the local law, we need some preliminary notations and results. The m-function of a Jacobi matrix J (finite or infinite) is defined as $m(z) = (J - z)^{-1}(1, 1)$, where J is required to be essentially self-adjoint in the infinite case. Note that the m-function is nothing but the Stieltjes transform of the spectral measure of J. Denote by $m_n(z), m_\alpha(z)$ and $m_{\alpha,n}(z)$ the m-functions of $T_{n,\beta}, J_\alpha$ and $J_\alpha^{[1,n]}$, respectively. Since the spectral measure and the empirical distribution of Gaussian beta ensembles have the same mean, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_\zeta)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Im}\, m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})]. \tag{20}$$

Let $G_n(z), G_\alpha(z)$ and $G_{\alpha,n}(z)$ be the Green's functions of $T_{n,\beta}, J_\alpha$ and $J_{\alpha,n}$, respectively. We recall some results from Lemma 2.3, Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $G(z)$ stand for any of $G_n(z), G_\alpha(z)$ and $G_{\alpha,n}(z)$. Fix $0 < s < 1/4$. Then the following estimates hold*

(i)
$$\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} G(z;x,x)] \le M, \quad \mathbb{E}[|G(z;x,y)|^s] \le M, \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}_+;$$

(ii)
$$\mathbb{E}[|G(\lambda + i\tau; 1, x|^s] \le Ae^{-\gamma(x-1)},$$

for $\lambda \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$ and $\tau > 0$. Here M, A and γ are positive constants.

Lemma 4.12. (i) *As $n \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|\operatorname{Im} m_{\alpha,n}(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) - \operatorname{Im} m_\alpha(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})|] \to 0.$$

(ii) $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} m_\alpha(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})] = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{E}[m_\alpha(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})] \to \pi\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$ *as $n \to \infty$.*

(iii) *In particular, $\operatorname{Im} m_{\alpha,n}(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})$ converges in L^1, and hence, in probability to $\pi\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$.*

Proof. This is a one-side version of the local law for i.i.d. Jacobi matrix. Note that $\mathbb{E}[m_\alpha(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})]$ is the Stieltjes transform of $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$, a probability measure with continuous density. Thus the proof here is almost the same as that of Lemma 4.4. □

Lemma 4.13. *As $n \to \infty$,*

$$m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) - m_{\alpha,n}(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) \to 0 \text{ in probability,}$$

and hence

$$\xi_n(f_\zeta) = \operatorname{Im} m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) \to \pi\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E) \text{ in probability.} \tag{21}$$

Proof. We use the following coupling:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1);\\
&\{b_i^2\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{(n-i)\beta}{2}, 1\right) = \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha(1-\frac{i}{n}), 1\right);\\
&\{c_i^2\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{\alpha i}{n}, 1\right);\\
&\quad d_i^2 := b_i^2 + c_i^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1);
\end{aligned}$$

$$T_{n,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & b_{n-1} & a_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad J_{\alpha,n} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & d_1 & & \\ d_1 & a_2 & d_2 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & d_{n-1} & a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\text{Gamma}(a,b)$ denotes the gamma distribution with parameters a and b. Then by the resolvent equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_n(z) - m_{\alpha,n}(z) = G_n(z;1,1) - G_{\alpha,n}(z;1,1)\\
&= \sum_{x=1}^{n-1}(d_x - b_x)\Big\{G_n(z;1,x)G_{n,\alpha}(z;x+1,1) + G_n(z;1,x+1)G_{n,\alpha}(z;x,1)\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $0 < t < 1$,

$$|m_n(z) - m_{\alpha,n}(z)|^t \le \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} (d_x - b_x)^t |G_n(z;1,x) G_{n,\alpha}(z;x+1,1)|^t + \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} (d_x - b_x)^t |G_n(z;1,x+1) G_{n,\alpha}(z;x,1)|^t.$$

We consider the expectation of the first sum in the above estimate and show that it converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} s_x^{(n)} &= \mathbb{E}[(d_x - b_x)^t |G_n(z;1,x) G_{n,\alpha}(z;x+1,1)|^t] \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\Big[(d_x - b_x)^{3t}\Big]^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbb{E}\Big[|G_n(z;1,x)|^{3t}\Big]^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbb{E}\Big[|G_{n,\alpha}(z;x+1,1)|^{3t}\Big]^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = s/3$. Then Lemma 4.11 implies that

$$s_x^{(n)} \le C e^{-\gamma' x} \mathbb{E}[(d_x - b_x)^s]^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C_1 e^{-\gamma' x},$$

for some constants C, C_1 and $\gamma' > 0$. Recall that both d_x and b_x depend on n. For fixed x, by our coupling, it is clear that $\mathbb{E}[d_x - b_x]$ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, for fixed x, $s_x^{(n)}$ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. The desired convergence follows from the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\sum_{x=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(d_x - b_x)^t |G_n(z;1,x) G_{n,\alpha}(z;x+1,1)|^t] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The second sum can be estimated similarly. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[|m_n(z) - m_{\alpha,n}(z)|^t] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{22}$$

Note that the above convergence holds uniformly for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Therefore,

$$m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) - m_{\alpha,n}(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) \to 0 \text{ in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.13. □

Condition L′ requires the convergence of expectations which is equivalent to the uniform integrability of the sequence $\{\xi_n(f_\zeta)\}_{n \ge 1}$.

Lemma 4.14. *The sequence $X_n = \operatorname{Im} m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})$ is uniformly integrable.*

Theorem 4.15 (Local law)**.** *As $n \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_n(f_\zeta)] = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n})] \to \pi \bar{\mu}_\alpha(E).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.14. Let $T_{n,\beta}^{(1)}$ be the Jacobi matrix obtained by removing the top row and left-most column of $T_{n,\beta}$ and let $m_{n,1}$ be its m-function. Then we have the following relation

$$m_n(z) = -\frac{1}{z - a_1 + b_1^2 m_{n,1}(z)}.$$

It follows that

$$X_n = \operatorname{Im} m_n(E + \frac{\zeta}{n}) = \frac{\frac{\tau}{n} + b_1^2 Y_n}{(E + \frac{\sigma}{n} - a_1 + b_1^2 Z_n^2)^2 + (\frac{\tau}{n} + b_1^2 Y_n)^2}$$
$$=: \frac{\tau_n}{(a_1 - \sigma_n)^2 + \tau_n^2},$$

where we have set $Y_n = \operatorname{Im} m_{n,1}(z)$ and $Z_n = \operatorname{Re} m_{n,1}(z)$. Note that the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.13 shows that Y_n converges in probability to $\pi\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)$. Note also that a_1 is independent of both σ_n and τ_n, and b_1 is independent of Y_n. It follows that τ_n converges in distribution to $\pi\bar{\mu}_\alpha(E)\,\mathrm{Gamma}(\alpha, 1) =: \tau_\infty$. Moreover, the sequence $\mathbb{E}[\tau_n]$ is bounded because $\mathbb{E}[Y_n]$ is bounded by Lemma 4.11, that is,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_n] \le C \text{ for all } n.$$

Our aim now is to show the uniform integrability of X_n, namely, to show that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \sup_n \mathbb{E}[X_n; X_n \ge M] = 0. \tag{23}$$

It is clear that the condition $\{X_n \ge M\}$ implies that $(a_1 - \sigma_n)^2 \le \tau_n/M - \tau_n^2 < \tau_n/M$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X_n; X_n \ge M] &\le \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{(a_1-\sigma_n)^2 \le \frac{\tau_n}{M}} \frac{\tau_n}{(a_1 - \sigma_n)^2 + \tau_n^2} \rho(a_1) da_1 \bigg] \\
&\le \|\rho\|_\infty \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{x^2 \le \frac{1}{M\tau_n}} \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx \bigg] \quad \text{(change of variables } x = (a_1 - \sigma_n)/\tau_n) \\
&\le \|\rho\|_\infty \bigg(\mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{x^2 \le \frac{1}{M\tau_n}} \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx; \tau_n \le M^{-1/3} \bigg] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{x^2 \le \frac{1}{M\tau_n}} \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx; \tau_n > M^{-1/3} \bigg] \bigg) \\
&\le \|\rho\|_\infty \bigg(\mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx; \tau_n \le M^{-1/3} \bigg] + \int_{|x| \le M^{-1/3}} \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx \bigg) \\
&\le C_1 (\mathbb{P}(\tau_n \le M^{-1/3}) + M^{-1/3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\rho(a_1)$ is the density of a_1 and C_1 is a constant which does not depend on n and M. Note that $Y_n = \operatorname{Im} m_{n,1}(z) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and hence, τ_n is a strictly positive random variable. Since τ_n converges in distribution to $\tau_\infty (= \pi\bar{\mu}(E)\,\mathrm{Gamma}(\alpha, 1))$, it follows that for any $c > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_n \le c) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_\infty \le c).$$

Therefore given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a positive number c_ε such that

$$\sup_n \mathbb{P}(\tau_n \le c_\varepsilon) \le \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, with $M > \max\{c_\varepsilon^{-3}, \varepsilon^{-3}\}$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n; X_n \ge M] \le C_1 (\mathbb{P}(\tau_n \le M^{-1/3}) + M^{-1/3}) \le 2C_1\varepsilon,$$

for all n. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements. This work is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 16K17616(T.K.D) and 26400145(F.N.).

A Martingale difference central limit theorem

Suppose that, for each n, $X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \dots$ is a martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n1}, \mathcal{F}_{n2}, \dots$. Define $Y_{nk} = X_{nk} - X_{n,k-1}$. Suppose the Y_{nk} have second moments, and put $\sigma_{nk}^2 = \mathbb{E}[Y_{nk}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}]$ ($\mathcal{F}_{n0} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$). The probability space may vary with n. If the martingale is originally defined only for $1 \le k \le r_n$, take $Y_{nk} = 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_{nk} = \mathcal{F}_{nr_n}$ for $k > r_n$. Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_{nk}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{nk}^2$ converge with probability 1.

Theorem A.1 ([5, Theorem 35.12])**.** *Assume that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{nk}^2 \to \sigma^2 \text{ in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

where σ^2 is a positive constant, and that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_{nk}^2 \mathbf{1}_{|Y_{nk}| \ge \varepsilon}] \to 0$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_{nk} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

In this paper, we use the following version which is an easy consequence of the previous theorem. Let $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of random variables. For each n, we consider some filtrations $\{\emptyset, \Omega\} = \mathcal{F}_{n0} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{nn}$. Let $X_{nk} = \mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_{nk}], (0 \le k \le n)$. Define $Y_{nk} = X_{nk} - X_{n,k-1}$ and $\sigma_{nk}^2 = \mathbb{E}[Y_{nk}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}]$ for $1 \le k \le n$.

Theorem A.2. *Assume that the following two conditions holds*

(i) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{nk}^2 \to \sigma^2$ *in probability as* $n \to \infty$, *where* $\sigma^2 \ge 0$ *is a contant;*

(ii) $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|Y_{n,k}|^4] \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Then

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).$$

B Convergence of random probability measures on the real line

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of all probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Borel σ-field of $\mathbb{R}$. A sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is said to converge weakly to $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ if for all bounded continuous functions $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu.$$

The topology of weak convergence on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ can be metrizable by the Lévy–Prokhorov metric ρ, which makes $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), \rho)$ a separable complete metric space. We do not need the precise definition of the metric here. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}(S))$ be the Borel σ-field on $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Definition B.1. A random probability measure ξ is a measurable map from some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to $(\mathcal{P}(S), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}(S)))$.

Let ξ be a random probability measure. Then for any Borel set $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\xi(B)$ is a usual random variable. So is $\langle \xi, f \rangle$ for any non negative measurable function f, or bounded measurable function f, where $\langle \xi, f \rangle = \int f d\xi$. As random variables on a metric space, concepts of almost sure convergence, convergence in probability and convergence in distribution of random probability measures are defined naturally.

Definition B.2. (i) Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ξ be random probability measures defined on the same probability space. The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is said to converge weakly to ξ almost surely (resp. in probability) if $\rho(\xi_n, \xi)$ converges almost surely (resp. in probability) to 0 as n tends to infinity.

(ii) Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ξ be random probability measures which may be defined on different probability spaces. The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is said to converge in distribution to ξ if for any bounded continuous function $\Phi\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$),

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\xi_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\xi)] \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The aim of this section is to show that three abstract concepts of convergence can be defined in term of usual concepts of convergence of $\langle \xi_n, f \rangle$ for all bounded continuous function f. We need some preliminaries.

A class $\mathcal{A}$ of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ is called a convergence determining class if for any sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and any probability measure μ, the condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{A},$$

implies that $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weakly to μ. By definition, the space $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ of bounded continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ is an example of convergence determining class.

Let μ be a probability measure. A class $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ is called a convergence determining class for μ if for any sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{A}_\mu,$$

implies that $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weakly to μ.

Let $S_\mu(z)$ denote the Stieltjes transform of μ,

$$S_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x - z} \mu(dx), z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

We have the following estimates:

$$|S_\mu(z)| \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} z};$$
$$|S_\mu(z) - S_\mu(z')| \le \frac{|z - z'|}{\operatorname{Im} z \operatorname{Im} z'}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, denote by $f_z = 1/(x - z)$. Note that μ_n converges weakly to μ, if and only if $S_{\mu_n}(z)$ converges to $S_\mu(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, which means that $\{f_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{C}_+}$ is a convergence determining class. Let D be a countable dense subset in $\mathbb{C}_+$. Then using the above estimates, we can show that the class

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f_z\}_{z\in D}$$

is a countable convergence determining class.

The class $\mathcal{A} = \{x^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of monic polynomials, in general, is not a convergence determining class but it is a convergence determining class for μ, provided that the probability measure μ is determined by moments.

Theorem B.3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset C_b(\mathbb{R})$ be a countable convergence determining class. Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ξ be random probability measures defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *ξ_n converges weakly to ξ almost surely;*

(ii) *for all $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $\langle \xi_n, f \rangle$ converges almost surely to $\langle \xi, f \rangle$;*

(iii) *for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\langle \xi_n, f \rangle$ converges almost surely to $\langle \xi, f \rangle$.*

Proof. It is clear that (i) implies (ii), and (ii) implies (iii). We only need to show (iii) implies (i). Assume that (iii) holds. Let

$$A_f = \{\omega : \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \xi_n(\omega), f \rangle = \langle \xi(\omega), f \rangle\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}(A_f) = 1$ by the assumption. Let

$$A = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{A}} A_f.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$ because $\mathcal{A}$ is countable. Now for $\omega \in A$,

$$\langle \xi_n(\omega), f \rangle \to \langle \xi(\omega), f \rangle \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{A},$$

which implies that $\xi_n(\omega)$ converges weakly to $\xi(\omega)$, or $\rho(\xi_n(\omega), \xi(\omega)) \to 0$ by the definition of convergence determining class. □

To deal with convergence in probability, we need the following result which is analogous to the usual case ([5, Theorem 20.5]).

Lemma B.4. *The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ converges weakly to ξ in probability, if and only if for any subsequence $\{\xi_{n_k}\}$, there is a further subsequence $\{\xi_{n'_k}\}$ which converges weakly to ξ almost surely.*

Theorem B.5. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset C_b(\mathbb{R})$ be a countable convergence determining class. Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and ξ be random probability measures defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *ξ_n converges weakly to ξ in probability;*

(i) *for all $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $\langle \xi_n, f \rangle$ converges in probability to $\langle \xi, f \rangle$;*

(i) *for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\langle \xi_n, f \rangle$ converges in probability to $\langle \xi, f \rangle$.*

Proof. It is an easy consequence of the previous lemma and Theorem B.3. □

Corollary B.6. *The sequence of random probability measures $\{\xi_n\}$ converges weakly to ξ almost surely (resp. in probability), if and only if $S_{\xi_n}(z)$ converges almost surely (resp. in probability) to $S_\xi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, or for all $z \in D$, a dense subset of $\mathbb{C}_+$.*

Theorem B.7. *Let $\xi = \mu$ be non-random probability measure and let $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ be a countable convergence determining class for μ. Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of random probability measures. Assume that for any $f \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$, the sequence $\langle \xi_n, f\rangle$ is well-defined and it converges to $\langle \mu, f\rangle$ almost surely (resp. in probability). Then the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ converges weakly to μ almost surely (resp. in probability).*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem B.3. □

Corollary B.8. *Assume that the probability measure μ is determined by its moments. Then the condition*

$$\langle \xi_n, x^k\rangle \to \langle \mu, x^k\rangle \text{ almost surely (resp. in probability), for } k = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

implies that $\{\xi_n\}$ converges weakly to μ almost surely (resp. in probability). More generally, assume that the random probability measure ξ is determined by its moments almost surely. Then the condition

$$\langle \xi_n, x^k\rangle \to \langle \xi, x^k\rangle \text{ almost surely (resp. in probability), for } k = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

implies that $\{\xi_n\}$ converges weakly to ξ almost surely (resp. in probability).

For convergence in distribution, the following result is analogous to the one for random measures ([18, Theorem 4.2]).

Theorem B.9. *The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ converges to ξ in distribution, if and only if $\langle \xi_n, f\rangle$ converges in distribution to $\langle \xi, f\rangle$ for all $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$.*

Let ξ be a random probability measure. Then the mean of ξ, denoted by $\bar{\xi}$, is defined as

$$\bar{\xi}(B) = \mathbb{E}[\xi(B)], \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

In can be also defined as a probability measure μ such that

$$\langle \mu, f\rangle = \mathbb{E}[\langle \xi, f\rangle], \text{ for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

The above equation still holds for all non negative functions f, or even for all measurable functions f such that $\mathbb{E}[\langle \xi, f\rangle] < \infty$. It is clear that the almost sure convergence implies the convergence in probability which further implies the convergence in distribution. Suppose that $\{\xi_n\}$ converges in distribution to ξ. Then the sequence of mean measures $\{\bar{\xi}_n\}$ converge weakly to $\bar{\xi}$, the mean of ξ. Indeed, let f be a bounded continuous function, $|f(x)| \le M$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\langle \xi_n, f\rangle$ converges to $\langle \xi, f\rangle$ in distribution and $|\langle \xi_n, f\rangle| \le M$ for all n, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \xi_n, f\rangle] \to \mathbb{E}[\langle \xi, f\rangle] \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

by the bounded convergence theorem. This means that $\langle \bar{\xi}_n, f\rangle$ converges to $\langle \bar{\xi}, f\rangle$ for any bounded continuous function f, which implies that $\bar{\xi}_n$ converges weakly to $\bar{\xi}$ as $n \to \infty$.

References

[1] R. Allez, J-P. Bouchaud, and A. Guionnet: *Invariant beta ensembles and the gauss-wigner crossover*, Physical review letters **109** (2012), no. 9, 094102.

[2] R. Allez and L. Dumaz: *From sine kernel to Poisson statistics*, Electron. J. Probab. **19** (2014), no. 114, 25.

[3] R. Askey and J. Wimp: *Associated Laguerre and Hermite polynomials*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **96** (1984), no. 1-2, 15–37. MR 741641

[4] F. Benaych-Georges and S. Péché: *Poisson statistics for matrix ensembles at large temperature*, J. Stat. Phys. **161** (2015), no. 3, 633–656.

[5] P. Billingsley: *Probability and measure*, third ed., Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1995, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[6] R. Carmona and J. Lacroix: *Spectral theory of random Schrödinger operators*, Probability and its Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.

[7] D.J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones: *An introduction to the theory of point processes*, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[8] P.A. Deift: *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 3, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[9] I. Dumitriu and A. Edelman: *Matrix models for beta ensembles*, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002), no. 11, 5830–5847.

[10] ———: *Global spectrum fluctuations for the β-Hermite and β-Laguerre ensembles via matrix models*, J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006), no. 6, 063302, 36.

[11] I. Dumitriu and E. Paquette: *Global fluctuations for linear statistics of β-Jacobi ensembles*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **1** (2012), no. 4, 1250013, 60.

[12] T.K. Duy: *On spectral measures of random Jacobi matrices*, arXiv:1601.01146.

[13] ———: *Distributions of the determinants of Gaussian beta ensembles*, RIMS Kôkyûroku.

[14] T.K. Duy and T. Shirai: *The mean spectral measures of random Jacobi matrices related to Gaussian beta ensembles*, Electron. Commun. Probab. **20** (2015), no. 68, 13.

[15] V.L. Girko and V.V. Vasil′ev: *A central limit theorem for normalized spectral functions of random Jacobi matrices*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Mat. Statist. (1983), no. 29, 30–34.

[16] G.M. Graf: *Anderson localization and the space-time characteristic of continuum states*, J. Statist. Phys. **75** (1994), no. 1-2, 337–346.

[17] K. Johansson: *On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), no. 1, 151–204.

[18] O. Kallenberg: *Random measures*, fourth ed., Akademie-Verlag, Berlin; Academic Press, Inc., London, 1986.

[19] W. Kirsch and L.A. Pastur: *Analogues of Szegő's theorem for ergodic operators*, Mat. Sb. **206** (2015), no. 1, 103–130.

[20] N. Minami: *Local fluctuation of the spectrum of a multidimensional Anderson tight binding model*, Comm. Math. Phys. **177** (1996), no. 3, 709–725.

[21] J.A. Ramírez, B. Rider, and B. Virág: *Beta ensembles, stochastic Airy spectrum, and a diffusion*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (2011), no. 4, 919–944.

[22] A.Ya. Reznikova: *A central limit theorem for the spectrum of random Jacobi matrices*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **25** (1980), no. 3, 513–522.

[23] J. Schenker: *Eigenvector localization for random band matrices with power law band width*, Comm. Math. Phys. **290** (2009), no. 3, 1065–1097.

[24] B. Simon: *Szegő's theorem and its descendants*, M. B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011, Spectral theory for L^2 perturbations of orthogonal polynomials.

[25] B. Valkó and B. Virág: *Continuum limits of random matrices and the Brownian carousel*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), no. 3, 463–508.